FILED

	JUL 8 - 2008
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WE	U.O. INDINICI COUNT
UNITED STATES	CASE No : 106-CR-00020-8
V	
Dumine Anderson	
Pro se	
DATE: 07-04-02	
Re: Mution For Incispicational Hearing	
Motion	
Now Comes Dwarne Anderson-Pro-SE, Requesting This Honorpole	
LOURT TO GRANT HIM A JURNOPERIONAL HEARING RULE 12(h)(3)	
Rules OF Civil PROCEDURE STATES THAT JUNISICALINA MAY BE	
CHAMENGED AT ANY STAGE OF THE PROCEDING FEDERAL	
DEUDICTION CANNOT BE CONFERRED BY AGREEMENT OF PARTIES	
AND TRRESPECTIVE OF SUCH AGREEMENT, FEDERAL COURT HAS	
A DUTY ON ITS OWN MOTION TO CONSIDE WHETHER THERE IS	
PRUPERLY FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN THE CASE BEFORE IT.	
THE GOVERNMENT CONTENOY IT HAS JURIDICION OF AN CRIMINAL	
LANCE BY WAY OF TITLE 1883231 WHICH STAZES: "THE UNITED	
STATES SHAIL HAVE ORIGINAL JURUDICTION IN THE DISTRICT COURTS,	
EXCLUSIVE OF THE COURTS OF THE STATES, OF ALL OFFENSES AGAINST	
THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. NOTHING IN THIS TITLE SHALL	
SE HEID TO TAKE AWAY OR IMPAIR THE JURISDICTION OF THE	
LOURTH OF THE SEVERAL STATES UNDER THE LAWS THERE OF.	
THOSE Two TERMS, ORIGINAL AND EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION DESCRIBE	
THE DISTRICT COURTS AUTHORISY TO HEAR ONLY THOSE CHIMINAL	

PROSECUTIONS THAT INVOIVE OFFICIALS AGRINST THE LAWS
OF THE UNITED STATES, THE OFFENSE MUST BE COMMITTED
ON THE TERRITORY OR PROPERTY BELONGING TO THE
United STATES ANY OFFERDE COMMITTED OUTSIDE OF
TERRITORIES OR PROPERTY BELONGE TO THE UNITED STATES
AND THE DITTER COVER HAS NO JULIUS. CTION OVER THE
MATTERS EVERY PROSECUTION THAT GOS ON IN THE DISTRICT
COURTS ARE BY ALTS OF CONGRESS CONGRESS HAS POSEL
TO WITHDRAW OR MODIFY JURISOICHION OF DISTRICT
COURD. BUT CANNOT EXPAND JURIDICTION BUYERD THAT
Specifico In Article III OF THE CONSTITUTION.
Respectedly Submitted,
Divagno Anderson
WITHOUT PRESIDE U.C. 1-207"
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·